

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/748,712	Applicant(s) RICHLEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Ginger T. Chapman	Art Unit 3761	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Ginger T. Chapman.

(3) _____.

 (2) Andrew D Stover.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2007
Time: 2:33p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

29, 1, 2, 6-8

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2004/0122401 A1, US 6,132,410 (Van Gompel); US 4,437,860 (Sigl)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview with Applicants' representative Applicants' amendment clarifying the positions of the first and second adhesive regions were noted, embodiments reviewed and the discussion continued on clarifying the first and second adhesives by incorporating the limitations of dependent claim 1 and independent claim 29.